

Serving the Water Supply Needs of Central North Dakota and the Red River Valley

## **2021 USER UPDATE MEETING**







November 15, 2021



#### RED RIVER VALLEY WATER SUPPLY PROJECT | 2

#### **DISCUSSION OVERVIEW**



#### **OVERVIEW OF PROJECT**

#### **PAST USER ENGAGEMENT**

#### **PROJECT NEED**

#### •••••••

#### **PROJECT PROGRESS AND LEGISLATIVE ASKS**

#### **INTERIM AGREEMENTS**

#### **CURRENT USER PARTICIPATION ACTIVITIES**

### **RED RIVER VALLEY WATER SUPPLY PROJECT**

# RRVWSP is an economic development initiative and a long-term emergency water supply













**ON-GOING PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT** 

#### **PROJECT GROUNDBREAKING**



#### **RED RIVER VALLEY WATER SUPPLY PROJECT**



#### GARRISON DIVERSION CONSERVANCY DISTRICT

**Represents the State of ND** 





#### LAKE AGASSIZ WATER AUTHORITY

#### **Represents Local Users**

#### **RED RIVER VALLEY WATER SUPPLY PROJECT**



#### **RRVWSP DEVELOPMENT PHASE** 35 CITIES & WATER SYSTEMS



### **RRVWSP PROJECT OVERVIEW**

**DEVELOPMENT PHASE [35 CITIES & WATER SYSTEMS]** 



Pipe Diameter 72" (6 foot)



Pipe Length

165 Miles

**Pipe Material** Steel



Pipe Flow

Bury Depth 7' Top of Pipe 15' Bottom of Trench



#### **ESTIMATED TOTAL PROJECT COST (2021\$)**



\*Excludes Pipeline Extensions/Includes Admin, Engineering, Legal, and Real Estate | All Project Costs shown in October 2021 Dollars

#### **TOTAL FUNDING PROGRESS**



\*Total funding achieved including legislative appropriations (2017-2023) and approved local interim agreements

#### **PAST USER ENGAGEMENT**

INITIAL OUTREACH

100+ MEETINGS IN 2016 WITH POTENTIAL USERS THROUGHOUT CENTRAL AND EASTERN NORTH DAKOTA DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT



35 USERS SIGNED THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT, INCLUDING 20 MUNICIPALITIES AND ALL 15 RURAL WATER DISTRICTS

## WATER NOMINATIONS

159.23 CFS OF WATER NOMINATIONS, INCLUDING 86.00 CFS DOMESTIC AND 73.23 CFS INDUSTRIAL

### WATER NOMINATIONS

#### **STUTSMAN RURAL WATER DISTRICT WATER NOMINATION**

- Domestic 0.00 cfs
- Industrial 4.00 cfs
- Total Nomination 4.00 cfs

#### **JAMESTOWN WATER NOMINATION**

- Domestic 0.00 cfs
- Industrial 11.00 cfs
- Total Nomination 11.00 cfs







#### **RED RIVER VALLEY WATER SUPPLY PROJECT** USER COMMITMENTS

SIGNED USERS	
User	Nomination (cfs)
Fargo/West Fargo/Cass Rural Water District	83.70
Grand Forks / East Grand Forks	28.10
Stutsman Rural Water District/Jamestown	15.00
Wahpeton	4.50
East Central Water District	4.30
Northeast Regional Water District (with Langdon)	3.20
Carrington	2.50
Southeast Water Users District	2.50
Richland County JDA	2.00
Grafton	2.00
Valley City	1.50

#### SIGNED USERS (CONTINUED)

User	Nomination (cfs)
Agassiz Water Users District	1.00
Devils Lake	1.00
Greater Ramsey Water District	1.00
Tri-County Rural Water District	1.00
Walsh Rural Water District	1.00
Dakota Rural Water District	0.70
Central Plains Water District	0.60
Barnes Rural Water District	0.50
Hillsboro	0.50
Mayville	0.50

# SIGNED USERS (CONTINUED) User Nomination (cfs) South Central Regional Water District 0.50 McLean-Sheridan Rural Water 0.42

	0.50
AcLean-Sheridan Rural Water	0.42
ark River	0.40
isbon	0.33
ooperstown	0.20
lcVille	0.10
lannaford	0.05
uttle	0.02
orman	0.01

#### TOTAL = 159.23 cfs

#### **REGIONALIZATION** LOWERED ORIGINAL 35 USERS TO 30 USERS

## INDUSTRIAL WATER NOMINATION CAPABILITIES

Stutsman Rural Water District	Jamestown	Total Available (cfs)	
Nomination (cfs)	Nomination (cfs)		
4.00	11.00	15.00	



	<b>0.04</b> Chicken/Turkey	0.73			_						
	Processing	Beef Processing	(Small)		2.9						
	0.08 Pasta, Durum 0.24 Sugar & By Products	1.12 Ethanol, 1.15 Soybea /Biodia	Corn 1. Ma an Crushing (Sn esel	<b>74</b> Ilted Barley nall)	Beef	Processing (Mediu <b>3.08</b> Potato — French Fries	ım)	<b>4.91</b> Malted Barl (Large)	ey	<b>12.22</b> Nitrogen Fertilizer Production	
CFS 👩		•••	• • •	) r	•••	,	•	-49	t O	<b>0</b> 1 •	13
-	0.30 Dehydrated Potato Flakes 0.24 Oilseeds	<b>0.50</b> Frozen Potato Products	<b>1.45</b> Pork Processing (Average)	<b>2.06</b> Paper/ Packaging	<b>2.89</b> High Fr Corn Sy	uctose rrup	<b>3.75</b> Ag Waste Biorefinery	Beef Proces	<b>5.81</b> sing (Large)	11.14 Corn Wet Millin	
									KED KIVEK VALLEY WA	IEK SUPPLY PRUJECI	15

Permit Holder	Permit No.	Status	Туре	Approved (CFS)	Total Permit	Recent Usage	Current Unutilized
	2105			2.32			
Cargill	3933	Perfected	Inductrial	0.35	4 72		
(ADM*)	5448		muustnai	0.69	4.75	עסו	
	5584			1.38			
lamostown	1120 Perfected Municipal		Municipal		5.14	1 72	
Jamestown	6597**	Conditionally Approved	Industrial	10.50	10.50	0.70	4.72
	5690	Perfected	Rural	0.45		0.42	
Chutaman	3774	Perfected	Rural	0.45		0.42	
Stutsman	6609A	Conditionally Approved	Rural	0.53	5.24	0.00	3.73
RVUD	6454	Held in Abeyance	Industrial	2.23		0.68	
	6609	Conditionally Approved	Industrial	1.59		0.00	
Total for Jamestown and SRWD>						7.35	8.45
*Cargill Permits in process of being transferred to ADM per coorespondance w/ DWR							

\*\*Permitted Allocation of No. 6597 (692 acre-feet) is embedded to Total Allocation of Permit No. 1120

#### JAMESTOWN PREVIOUS POPULATION PROJECTIONS

#### JAMESTOWN POPULATION PROJECTIONS



#### RED RIVER VALLEY WATER SUPPLY PROJECT17

#### JAMESTOWN WATER DEMAND PROJECTIONS



#### RED RIVER VALLEY WATER SUPPLY PROJECT | 18

#### STUTSMAN RURAL WATER DISTRICT PREVIOUS USER PROJECTIONS



RED RIVER VALLEY WATER SUPPLY PROJECT | 19

#### STUTSMAN WATER DEMAND PROJECTIONS



### **INDUSTRIAL WATER DEMAND EXCEEDS CURRENT SUPPLY**



#### **NORTHERN PLAINS NITROGEN**

Permitted City's Wastewater Effluent Discharge

#### 2 THARALDSON ETHANOL

Re-Used Fargo's Grey Water at Higher Cost

- 3 AGP SOYBEAN CRUSHING/CRACKING Relocated Facility, Missed Opportunity
- 3 CARGILL (PRO GOLD) Reliability & Expansion Precluded by Permit Restrictions
  - SPIRITWOOD ENERGY PARK Water Options Limited

DAIRY/POTATO WASHING/HOG & BEEF, MISC. WATER DISTRICTS Water Options Limited

## THE PROJECT IS CRITICAL FOR NORTH DAKOTA

#### LACK OF WATER MEANS FEWER INDUSTRIAL OPPORTUNITIES

- Our State's economic opportunities continue to grow
- North Dakota has garnished interest from many industries including "thirsty" industries
- Already missed industrial opportunities in Central and Eastern ND
- Much of the existing water appropriations are spoken for and allocated

#### **MORE REGIONALIZATION / CHANGE IS EXPECTED IN FUTURE**

- Oldest Water District in ND formed in 1969, or 52 Years Ago
- Project Will Serve 55 Years into Future Regionalization has occurred in last four years
- Users who do not participate in project will not be allowed to access RRVWSP water

#### **1926B ENTITLEMENT COULD BE JEOPARDIZED WITHOUT SUFFICIENT WATER**

- "To prove entitlement to 1926B's protection from corporation:
  - It has provided or at least made water service available"
- Potential for piercing 1926B protection if water districts can't supply water to users compared to municipalities that signed up

## **BENEFITS OF DEVELOPING PROJECT INDUSTRIAL WATER**

What Will Developing all **73 CFS** of Project Industrial Capacity Look Like?



Projected Average Annual Employment increase from Full Utilization of Project Industrial Capacity



Projected Average Annual Economic Impact (GSP) from Full Utilization of Project Industrial Nominations

\*Results from recently completed REMI economic impact analysis performed by Bank of North Dakota consultant FTI Consulting

## **2021 TO 2023 LEGISLATIVE ASKS**

- LONG-TERM / LOW-INTEREST / FLEXIBLE STATE LOAN PROGRAM Such as 40 years @ 2% and shaped repayment (BND is currently working on structure)
- AFFORDABLE COST-SHARE

75% State / 25% Local for large users (received in 2019) 80% State / 20% Local for small users (not addressed)

- DEFINED CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE 6 to 10 years (not addressed)
- FUNDING REQUEST
   \$50 million (Included to SWC Budget for 2021-2023)
   \$25M of ARPA funds currently being requested in Special Session



## **RRVWSP NDSWC APPROVED FUNDING TO DATE**



#### \*Including Additional \$47.49M recently approved at October SWC Meeting

- Interim agreements with Grand Forks, Fargo, and Garrison Diversion have funded majority of local share to date
- Jamestown/SRWD have funded \$131,895 of local share to date through Project Development Agreement

#### **INTERIM AGREEMENTS**

## The Interim Agreements between GDCD and LAWA, through Fargo and Grand Forks,

will fund the local share of ongoing work.

#### **Purpose of Interim Agreement(s)**

- Series A Funds the 10% local share, up to \$1,444,444, of early out construction on the intake, pipeline and discharge structure.
- Series B Funds the 25% local share, up to \$2,050,000, of continued design, permitting, construction support, financial planning and project development.
- Series C Funds the 25% local share, up to \$22,430,000 of continued construction, land & easements, and project development.

Fargo, Grand Forks, and Garrison Diversion are pre-paying these expenses, subject to later reimbursement by project participants.

Currently working on a **Project Participation Agreement** and **Water Supply Agreement** to identify all final project participants.

#### **INTERIM AGREEMENT COSTS**

User	Tier %	2017-2019 Biennium Early-Out Construction 10% Local Cost Share	2019-2021 Biennium Revised Budget 25% Local Cost Share	2021-2023 Biennium Engineering and Construction 25% Local Cost Share	Total
Fargo <sup>1</sup>	67.823%	\$979,664	\$1,390,369	\$15,212,699	\$17,582,732
Grand Forks <sup>2</sup>	13.387%	\$193,369	\$274,435	\$3,002,704	\$3,470,508
Stutsman Rural Water / Jamestown	5.629%	\$81,303	\$115,388	\$1,262,585	\$1,459,276
East Central Water District <sup>3</sup>	1.912%	\$27,615	\$39,193	\$428,862	\$495,670
Wahpeton	1.856%	\$26,808	\$38,046	\$416,301	\$481,155
NE Regional Water District <sup>4</sup>	1.320%	\$19,063	\$27,055	\$296,076	\$342,194
Southeast Water Users District	1.031%	\$14,893	\$21,137	\$231,253	\$267,283
Grafton	0.901%	\$13,014	\$18,470	\$202,094	\$233,578
Carrington / Carrington JDA	0.840%	\$12,128	\$17,212	\$188,412	\$217,752
Richland County JPA	0.825%	\$11,915	\$16,909	\$185,048	\$213,872
Valley City	0.692%	\$9,989	\$14,177	\$155,216	\$179,382
Agassiz Water District	0.421%	\$6,074	\$8,620	\$94,430	\$109,124
Devils Lake	0.412%	\$5,957	\$8,455	\$92,412	\$106,824
Greater Ramsey Rural Water	0.412%	\$5,957	\$8,455	\$92,412	\$106,824
Tri-County Water District	0.412%	\$5,957	\$8,455	\$92,412	\$106,824
Walsh Rural Water District	0.412%	\$5,957	\$8,455	\$92,412	\$106,824
Dakota Rural Water District	0.289%	\$4,170	\$5,918	\$64,823	\$74,911
Barnes Rural Water District	0.214%	\$3,095	\$4,393	\$48,000	\$55,488
Hillsboro	0.206%	\$2,979	\$4,227	\$46,206	\$53,412
Mayville	0.206%	\$2,979	\$4,227	\$46,206	\$53,412

User	Tier %	2017-2019 Biennium Early-Out Construction 10% Local Cost Share	2019-2021 Biennium Revised Budget 25% Local Cost Share	2021-2023 Biennium Engineering and Construction 25% Local Cost Share	Total
Central Plains Water District	0.202%	\$2,911	\$4,131	\$45,309	\$52,351
Park River	0.165%	\$2,383	\$3,382	\$37,010	\$42,775
Lisbon	0.141%	\$2,043	\$2,899	\$31,626	\$36,568
South Central Regional Water District	0.113%	\$1,635	\$2,321	\$25,346	\$29,302
Cooperstown	0.082%	\$1,191	\$1,691	\$18,393	\$21,275
McVille	0.041%	\$596	\$845	\$9,196	\$10,637
Mclean-Sheridan Water District	0.026%	\$375	\$532	\$5,832	\$6,739
Hannaford	0.021%	\$298	\$423	\$4,710	\$5,431
Tuttle	0.005%	\$65	\$93	\$1,122	\$1,280
Forman	0.004%	\$60	\$85	\$897	\$1,042

Notes:

1 — Fargo with West Fargo and Cass Rural Water District

2 — Grand Forks with East Grand Forks

3 – East Central Water District consists of Grand Forks Traill Water District, Traill Rural Water Users, and Larimore

4 — Northeast Regional Water District with Langdon

2017-2019 Biennium	2019-2021 Biennium	2021-2023 Biennium	Total
\$1,444,444	\$2,050,000	<b>\$22,430,000</b>	\$ <b>25,924,</b> 444

RED RIVER VALLEY WATER SUPPLY PROJECT | 27

#### **PROPOSED USER COSTS** PROJECT COST COMPONENTS

Cost Component	Estimated Cost (2021 Dollars)	Description
Core Pipeline Capital	\$1.22B	Includes costs to construct the main transmission pipeline from the Missouri River to the Sheyenne River and ancillary facilities
Baseline Operating	\$1.53M (Annually)	Operating costs under "low" flow conditions (year-round delivery of 4 cfs) to ensure appropriate water quality conditions and to maintain the Main Transmission Pipeline in good working condition and ready for "high" flow use
Project Renewal and Rehabilitation	\$1.86M (Annually)	Forecasted and annualized costs of renewing and rehabilitating the Main Transmission Pipeline for the first 40-years of project operation
Drought Operating (if applicable)	\$15.26M (Annually)	Operating costs under "high" flow conditions (year-round delivery of 165 cfs) in time of project water requests to mitigate drought
Branch Pipeline Capital (if applicable)	Varies by Location	Includes costs to construct additional pipeline and ancillary facilities to deliver water to smaller users that are not on the river systems downstream of Core Pipeline discharges
Branch Pipeline Operating (if applicable)	Varies by Location	To be determined

#### **PROPOSED USER COSTS** LOCAL COST SHARE AND CORE PROJECT ALLOCATION

#### LOCAL COST SHARE

Based on current legislation and anticipated future legislation, a State/Local cost share split of 75/25 is assumed for Core Pipeline Capital Cost, Drought Operating Costs, and Branch Pipeline Capital Cost.

Baseline Operating and Project Renewal and Rehabilitation are assumed to be funded 100% at the local level.

#### **CORE PROJECT COST ALLOCATION COMPONENTS** WATER SUPPLY NEED | PROJECT USER GROUPS | BENEFIT OF ACCESS

STUTSMAN RURAL WATER DISTRICT CORE PROJECT ALLOCATION PERCENTAGE 1.501%

CITY OF JAMESTOWN CORE PROJECT ALLOCATION PERCENTAGE 4.128%

2.5% of Total Nominations

6.9% of Total Nominations

#### **PROPOSED USER COSTS** ESTIMATED TOTAL FINAL PROJECT COSTS (2021\$) – FOR CURRENT NOMINATION

COST COMPONENT	STUTSMAN RURAL WATER DISTRICT	CITY OF JAMESTOWN
Core Pipeline Share	\$4.58M	\$12.59M
Debt Service (Annually)	\$167,402	\$460,355
Baseline Operating (Annually)	\$22,962	\$63,146
Long-Term Project Renewal and Rehabilitation (Annually)	\$27,883	\$76,678
Drought Operating (if applicable)	N/A	N/A
	Total Capacity / Debt Service	Total Capacity / Debt Service
Branch Pipeline Capital Share (it applicable)	\$2.93M / \$107,286	\$8.07M / \$295,036
Branch Pipeline Operating (if applicable)	TBD	TBD

PROJECTED BASELINE ANNUAL COST OF PROJECT	\$218,247 / year	\$600,179 / year
PROJECTED END USER BILL IMPACTS	\$7.94 / month	\$7.58 / month

THE RRVWSP INVESTMENT WILL BE A PROPERTY RIGHT.

### **CONTINUED USER PARTICIPATION**

Project Participation Agreement is being Developed between LAWA and Garrison Diversion Representatives

- Additional Information Requests have been sent to all users to support project development:
  - > Develop better plans to deliver water based on user preferences
  - > Refine cost estimates for the Project
  - > Assist with final details of the Project Participation Agreement

## **ADDITIONAL USER INFORMATION REQUESTS**

- **DOMESTIC NOMINATION:** Projected average annual need for domestic water from the project to the year 2075 in units of CFS
- INDUSTRIAL NOMINATION: Projected average annual need for industrial water from the project to the year 2075 in units of CFS
- **PEAK DAY DEMAND:** Projected peak day demand of combined domestic and industrial water from the project in 2075
- **DESIRED POINT(S) OF CONNECTION:** Desired points for service from the project for planning purposes
- **DESIRED ROUTE OF SERVICE:** Desired route of service from pipeline or rivers sources to point(s) of connection
- **DESIRED WATER QUALITY:** Does treatment to drinking water standards and associated costs need to be considered for your system?

### **RED RIVER VALLEY WATER SUPPLY PROJECT**

# RRVWSP is an economic development initiative and a long-term emergency water supply!



### **RED RIVER VALLEY WATER SUPPLY PROJECT**



**BENEFIT** 

• Up to 50% of North Dakotans • \$32B Economic Impact w/o Project

### **COMMITMENT to GOOD STEWARDSHIP**

• Land • State & Local Dollars

• Environment

000

# THANK YOU!



Serving the Water Supply Needs of Central North Dakota and the Red River Valley





www.rrvwsp.com



